arXiv:1703.04774v1 [cs.ET] 14 Mar 2017

Self-Assembly of 4-sided Fractals in the Two-handed Tile Assembly Model

Jacob Hendricks* and Joseph Opseth**

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the strict self-assembly of fractals in one of the most well-studied models of tile based self-assembling systems known as the Two-handed Tile Assembly Model (2HAM). We are particularly interested in a class of fractals called discrete self-similar fractals (a class of fractals that includes the discrete Sierpinski's carpet). We present a 2HAM system that strictly self-assembles the discrete Sierpinski's carpet with scale factor 1. Moreover, the 2HAM system that we give lends itself to being generalized and we describe how this system can be modified to obtain a 2HAM system that strictly self-assembles one of any fractal from an infinite set of fractals which we call 4-*sided fractals*. The 2HAM systems we give in this paper are the first examples of systems that strictly self-assemble discrete self-similar fractals at scale factor 1 in a purely growth model of self-assembly. Finally, we give an example of a 3-*sided fractal* (which is not a tree fractal) that cannot be strictly self-assembled by any 2HAM system.

1 Introduction

The study of fractals has both a mathematical and a practical basis, as these recursively self-similar patterns occur in nature in the form of circulatory systems and branch patterns. Evidently many fractals found in nature are the result of a process where a simple set of rules dictating how individual basic components (such as individual molecules) interact to yield larger complexes with recursive self-similar structure. One approach to understanding this process is to model such a process with artificial self-assembling systems.

One of the first and also one of the most studied mathematical models of self-assembling systems is Winfree's abstract Tile Assembly Model (aTAM) [15] where individual autonomous components are represented as tiles with glues on their edges. When considering the self-assembly of discrete self-similar fractals (dssf) such as the Sierpinski triangle one can consider either "strict" self-assembly, wherein a shape is made by placing tiles only within the domain of the shape, or "weak" self-assembly where a pattern representing the shape forms as part of a complex of tiles that contains specially labeled tiles corresponding to points in the shape and possibly additional tiles not corresponding to points of

* Department of Computer Science and Information Systems, University of Wisconsin - River Falls, jacob.hendricks@uwrf.edu

** Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin - River Falls, joseph.opseth@my.uwrf.edu

the shape. In this paper, we only consider strict self-assembly of dssf's. Previous work (including [1, 9, 10, 12–14]) has shown the difficulty of strict self-assembly of dssf's in the aTAM as no nontrivial dssf has been shown to self-assemble in the strict sense. In fact, the Sierpinski's triangle [11] and similar fractals [1] are known to be impossible to self-assemble in the aTAM; though it is possible to design systems which "approximate" the strict self-assembly of fractals [11–13]. Interestingly, it is unknown whether there exists a dssf which strictly self-assembles in the aTAM. This includes the Sierpinski's carpet dssf.

While the aTAM models single tile attachment at a time[1], a more generalized model and another of the most studied models of self-assembly called the 2-Handed Assembly Model [4] (2HAM, a.k.a. Hierarchical Assembly Model) allows pairs of large assemblies to bind together. The impossibility of strictly self-assembling the Sierpinski triangle [2] has been shown; this impossibility is due in part to the "tree-like" structure of Sierpinski's triangle. In [3] it is shown that Sierpinski's carpet self-assembles in the 2HAM at temperature 2, but with scale factor 3. That is, instead of self-assembling a structure with tiles corresponding to the points of Sierpinski's carpet, the structure that self-assembles contains a 3 by 3 block of tiles that corresponds to a single point of Sierpinski's carpet. Here we show that not only does Sierpinski's carpet self-assemble with no scale factor, but a general class of fractals, which we call the 4-sided fractals, self-assemble at temperature 2 in the 2HAM. Intuitively, 4-sided fractals are fractals that have a generator (the set of points in the first stage of the fractal) such that the generator is connected and consists of a rectangle of points and points "inside" this rectangle. Informally, a 4-sided fractal is a fractal with a generator that contains all 4 sides and one can define 0, 1, 2, and 3-sided fractals analogously. (Definitions are given in Section 2.) Moreover, we show that there exists a 3-sided fractal that cannot be strictly self-assembled by any 2HAM system at any temperature. This is especially interesting considering that 3-sided fractals are not tree fractals (a class of fractals that can be seen to not strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM with no scale factor.)

Theorem 1 implies that one of the most well-known dssf's strictly self-assembles in one of the simplest and most studied models of self-assembly, the 2HAM. It should be noted that any dssf can strictly self-assemble [6] in the Signal-passing Tile Assembly Model (STAM) where tiles can change state and even disassociate from an existing assembly, "breaking" an assembly into two disconnected assemblies. That is, given any dssf, there is a STAM system that strictly self-assembles this fractal.[2] Additionally, in a model similar to the STAM, the Active Signal Tile Assembly Model [7], infinite, self-similar substitution tiling patterns which fill the plane have been shown to assemble [8]. This may be considered a testament to the power of active tiles. Here we show that it is still possible to strictly self-assemble an infinite class of fractals in the 2HAM even though tiles are not active and disassociation is not allowed. While the positive result presented here

[1] or step in the self-assembly process

[2] Additionally, in [6] it is shown that a large class of fractals strictly self-assembles in the STAM even with temperature restricted to 1.

pertains only to 4-sided fractals, preliminary results discussed in Section 5 show that the techniques used here to prove this positive result may give rise to a much more general classification of which fractals strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM.

2 Preliminaries

Here we provide informal descriptions of the 2-Handed Tile Assembly Model (2HAM). For more details see [4, 5]. We also give the definition of discrete self-similar fractals similar to the definitions found in [1] and [6].

2.1 Informal description of the 2HAM

The 2HAM [4,5] is a generalization of the abstract Tile Assembly Model (aTAM) [15] in that it allows for two assemblies, both possibly consisting of more than one tile, to attach to each other. Since we must allow that the assemblies might require translation before they can bind, we define a *supertile* to be the set of all translations of a τ-stable assembly, and speak of the attachment of supertiles to each other, modeling that the assemblies attach, if possible, after appropriate translation. We now give a brief, informal, sketch of the 2HAM.

Given $V \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$, the *full grid graph* of V is the undirected graph $G_V^f = (V, E)$, such that for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in V$, $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\} \in E$ iff $||\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|| = 1$, i.e., iff $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ are adjacent and the 2-dimensional integer Cartesian space.

A *tile type* is a unit square with each side having a *glue* consisting of a *label* (a finite string) and *strength* (a non-negative integer). We assume a finite set T of tile types, but an infinite number of copies of each tile type, each copy referred to as a *tile*. A *supertile* is (the set of all translations of) a positioning of tiles on the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Two adjacent tiles in a supertile *interact* if the glues on their abutting sides are equal and have positive strength. Each supertile induces a *binding graph*, a grid graph whose vertices are tiles, with an edge between two tiles if they interact. The supertile is *τ-stable* if every cut of its binding graph has strength at least τ, where the weight of an edge is the strength of the glue it represents. That is, the supertile is stable if at least energy τ is required to separate the supertile into two parts. Note that throughout this paper, we will use the term *assembly* interchangeably with supertile.

A *(two-handed) tile assembly system* (*TAS*) is an ordered triple $\mathcal{T} = (T, S, \tau)$, where T is a finite set of tile types, S is the *initial state*, and $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ is the temperature. For notational convenience we sometimes describe S as a set of supertiles, in which case we actually mean that S is a multiset of supertiles with one count of each supertile. We also assume that, in general, unless stated otherwise, the count for any single tile in the initial state is infinite. Commonly, 2HAM systems are defined as pairs $\mathcal{T} = (T, \tau)$, with the initial state simply consisting of an infinite number of copies of each singleton tile type of T, and throughout this paper this is the notation we will use.

Given a TAS $\mathcal{T} = (T, \tau)$, a supertile is *producible*, written as $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}]$, if either it is a single tile from T, or it is the τ-stable result of translating two producible assemblies without overlap. A supertile α is *terminal*, written as $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\square}[\mathcal{T}]$, if for every producible supertile β, α and β cannot be τ-stably

attached. A TAS is *directed* if it has only one terminal, producible supertile. A set, or shape, X *strictly self-assembles* if there is a TAS $\mathcal{T}$ for which every assembly $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\square}[\mathcal{T}]$ satisfies dom $\alpha = X$. Essentially, strict self-assembly means that tiles are only placed in positions defined by the shape. This is in contrast to the notion of *weak self-assembly* in which only specially marked tiles can and must be in the locations of X but other locations can perhaps receive tiles of other types. All results in this paper are for strict self-assembly of shapes.

2.2 Discrete Self-Similar Fractals

In order to state the main theorem, we need to provide a few definitions. The definition of a discrete self-similar fractals and some of the notation used here also appears in [1, 6, 13]. First we introduce some notation.

For $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G \subset \mathbb{N}_g^2$, let l_G, r_G, b_G, and t_G denote the integers: $l_G = \min_{(x,y)\in G} x$, $r_G = \max_{(x,y)\in G} x$, $b_G = \min_{(x,y)\in G} y$, and $t_G = \max_{(x,y)\in G} y$. Moreover, let $w_G = r_G - l_G + 1$ and $h_G = t_G - b_G + 1$ denote the *width* and *height* of G respectively. Finally, let $L_G = \{(l_G, y) \mid b_G \leq y \leq t_G\}$, $R_G = \{(r_G, y) \mid b_G \leq y \leq t_G\}$, $T_G = \{(x, t_G) \mid l_G \leq x \leq r_G\}$, and $B_G = \{(x, b_G) \mid l_G \leq x \leq r_G\}$. In other words, L_G, R_G, T_G, and B_G are the left, right, top, and bottom line segments of a "bounding box" of G. We also use $\mathbb{N}_g$ to denote the subset $\{0, \ldots, g-1\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$. Finally, if A and B are subsets of $\mathbb{N}^2$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then $A + (x, y)B = \{(x_a, y_a) + (x \cdot x_b, y \cdot y_b) \mid (x_a, y_a) \in A \text{ and } (x_b, y_b) \in B\}$. First we give the definition of a discrete self-similar fractal.

Definition 1. *Let $\boldsymbol{X} \subset \mathbb{N}^2$. We say that $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a* discrete self-similar fractal *(or* dssf *for short), if there is a set $\{(0,0)\} \subset G \subset \mathbb{N}_g^2$ with at least one point in every row and column, such that*

1. *the full grid-graph of G is connected,*
2. *$w_G > 1$ and $h_G > 1$,*
3. *$G \subsetneq \mathbb{N}_{w_G} \times \mathbb{N}_{h_G}$, and*
4. *$\boldsymbol{X} = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$, where X^i, the i^{th}* stage *of $\boldsymbol{X}$, is defined by $X^1 = G$ and $X^{i+1} = X^i + (w_G^i, h_G^i)G$.*

Moreover, we say that G is the generator *of $\boldsymbol{X}$.*

A connected discrete self-similar fractal is one in which every component is connected in every stage, i.e. there is only one connected component in the grid graph formed by the points of the shape.

Definition 2. *[n-sided fractals] Let $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $1 < g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{X} \subset \mathbb{N}^2$. We say that $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a n-*sided fractal *iff $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a g-discrete self-similar fractal with* generator *G such that:*

1. *the full grid graph of G is connected,*
2. *$S \cap G = S$ for at least n distinct sets S in $\{L_G, R_G, T_G, B_G\}$.*

Intuitively, the second condition in Definition 2 is saying that the fractal generator contains all points of at least n of the left, right, top, and bottom line segments of a "bounding box" of G. In particular, the generator of a 4-sided fractal contains all of the points along the left, right, top, and bottom "sides" of

the fractal generator. Finally, for a fractal $\boldsymbol{X}$ with generator G, an enumeration of the points in a generator $G = \{\boldsymbol{v}_i\}_{i=1}^{|G|}$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the stages of $\boldsymbol{X}$ are $S^1 = G$ and $S^{j+1} = S^j + (w_G^j, h_G^j)G$. For $i \in N$ such that $1 \leq i \leq |G|$, we call the points of the $j+1$ stage given by $S_j + (w_G^j, h_G^j)\boldsymbol{v}_i$ the j^{th} *stage at position* i.

3 Four Sided Fractals

In this section we show how to strictly self-assemble the class of 4-sided discrete self-similar fractals in the 2HAM (with scale factor of 1). The most well-known example of a 4-sided fractal is Sierpinski's carpet. This is the first example of a non-trivial dssf shown to self-assemble in either the 2HAM (or the aTAM) with no scale factor.

Theorem 1. *Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ be a 4-sided fractal. Then, there exists a 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, 2)$ that strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$. Moreover, if G is the generator for $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $|G| = g$, $|T|$ is $O(g^3)$.*

We build intuition for a construction showing Theorem 1 by showing that Sierpinski's carpet strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM at scale factor 1. We then describe the modifications needed to extend the construction for the carpet to give an algorithm for obtaining a tile set T given a generator for a 4-sided fractal, $\boldsymbol{X}$, such that the 2HAM TAS $(T, 2)$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$.

3.1 Sierpinski's carpet construction overview

The Sierpinski's carpet dssf is the dssf with generator $G = \{(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (1,0), (1,2), (2,0), (2,1), (2,2)\}$. Figure 1a depicts this generator, while Figures 1b and 1c depict the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} stages of the dssf respectively. We denote this carpet by $\boldsymbol{S}$ and for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the i^{th} stage of $\boldsymbol{S}$ as S^i. We enumerate the points of S^1 as depicted in Figure 1a and use this enumeration to reference the positions of some substage within a subsequent stage of the carpet.



(a) Stage 1 (b) Stage 2 (c) Stage 3

Fig. 1: Three stages of Sierpinski's carpet

We now describe the tile set, T, that is used to strictly self-assemble $\boldsymbol{S}$ in the 2HAM at temperature $\tau = 2$ at scale factor 1. Tiles that are described in this section, along with the tiles depicted in Section B, give the full tile set T.

Overview of stage 2 assembly. We begin by distinguishing between two classes of tile types called `grout` tile types (or `grout` tiles when referring to actual tiles) and `initializer` tile types (or `initializer` tiles). Informally, `initializer` tiles self-assemble to form 8 different supertiles, the domains of which are contained in the portion of S^2 depicted in Figure 2. We call these 8 supertiles C_i^2 for $1 \leq i \leq 8$. We will describe the glues and assembly sequences of the

8 supertiles in Section A.1. The main idea is that tiles that self-assemble C_i^2 have been "hard-coded" (i.e. for any glue on the edge of some tile, there exists a single matching glue on another tile) to ensure that for each i, all tiles of C_i^2 self-assemble before C_i^2 can be a subassembly in any other strictly larger assembly. In other words, referring to Figure 4a, the gray tiles self-assemble one of the 8 different supertiles C_i^2 before any of the the aqua tiles can attach. Figure 3 depicts C_i^2 for each i. Note that for each i, C_i^2 subassemblies may expose glues of type g^d or $\hat{g}^d$ for d either n, s, e, or w, as well as possibly g^k or $\hat{g}^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq 8$. Informally, these glues encode which position (1 through 8) each C_i^2 assembly will end up in an assembly corresponding to stage 3 of Sierpinski's carpet, where C_i^2 will be in position i. We now explain the purpose of these glues in more detail.

Fig. 2: The shape of the portion of S^2 that is self-assembled by `initializer` tiles.



Fig. 3: The tiles that self-assemble a stage 2 supertile C_i^2. The unlabelled strength 1 and 2 black and yellow glues shown on edges of two adjacent tiles in each of the 8 supertiles are defined to have matching type. Moreover, these glues do not match any other glues of other tile types in T.



(a) Stage 2 (b) Stage 2 with grout

Fig. 4: For i, j such that $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$, `grout` tiles bind to C_i^2 and expose glues in precise locations that allow the resulting assemblies (shown in (a)) to bind to form C_j^3. Moreover, j is determined by the `grout` class that binds to the assemblies C_i^2.

Overview of stage 3 assembly. For each i, C_i^2 exposes glues that allow for the attachment of `grout` tiles. In Figure 4, `grout` tiles are depicted in aqua.

The `grout` supertiles that bind to some C_i^2 before any other `grout` supertiles are called `start-gadgets`. See Figure 5. There are 8 different classes of `grout` tile types (with corresponding `grout` tiles) which we enumerate with 1 through 8. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq j \leq 8$ refer to a class of `grout`. Glues of `grout` tiles have been defined so that `grout` supertiles cooperatively bind to C_i^2 assemblies, eventually surrounding such an assembly. The `grout` supertiles other than `start-gadgets` that cooperatively bind to C_i^2 are called `crawlers`. See Section A for a detailed description of these tiles with figures. Glues labeled g_k or $\hat{g}_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq 8$ are called `indicator` glues and these have a special purpose. A `grout` tile that binds to an `indicator` glue via a south glue (likewise for north, east, and west) of the `grout` tile may expose (depending on its `grout` class) a strength-1 glue on its north edge that will eventually take part in a cooperative binding event between C_i^2 and $C_{i'}^2$ with a sufficient number of `grout` tiles attached to each. The type of glue and whether or not a `grout` tile exposes such a glue depends on the `grout` tiles class. We call these glues `stage-binding` glues for the 3^{rd} stage. For each i, `grout` tiles have been defined so that they attach to C_i^2 and eventually bind to all `indicator` glues of C_i^2 before `grout` tiles can no longer bind. We let $C_{(i,j)}^2$ denote the largest supertile



Fig. 5: Left: The supertile that starts the growth of `grout` for C_1^2. Right: The supertile that starts the growth of `grout` for C_1^s for $s > 2$. Note that for each $s \geq 2$, only one of these supertiles can bind to tiles of C_1^s. Moreover, the supertile depicted on the left can bind to some C_1^s iff $s = 2$, and the supertile depicted on the right can bind to some C_1^s iff $s > 2$.



Fig. 6: (a) A depiction of $C_{(1,i)}^2$ with `stage-binding` glues $h_{1,i}$ and $\hat{h}_{1,i}$. (b) A depiction of $C_{(2,i)}^2$ with `stage-binding` glues $h_{1,i}$, $\hat{h}_{1,i}$, $h_{2,i}$, and $\hat{h}_{2,i}$. Notice that the `stage-binding` glues of $C_{(1,i)}^2$ and $C_{(2,i)}^2$ allow for the cooperative binding of $C_{(1,i)}^2$ and $C_{(2,i)}^2$. Intuitively, the distance between these glues ensures proper assembly of each stage of Sierpinski's carpet.

(in terms of the subassembly relation) consisting of C_i^2 and `grout` tiles of class j. Figure 6 depicts $C_{(i,j)}^2$ for $i = 1$ and 2 and j between 1 and 8. Moreover, for i, j, i' and j' between 1 and 8 (inclusive), glues are defined so that $C_{(i,j)}^2$ and

$C^2_{(i',j')}$ can bind iff $j = j'$. That is the `grout` tiles of $C^2_{(i,j)}$ and $C^2_{(i',j')}$ belong to the same class.

As `grout` tiles attach to each C^2_i assembly, `stage-binding` glues are exposed on specially designated `grout` tiles so that the supertiles $C^2_{(i,j)}$ can bind to form the portion of S^3 depicted in Figure 4b. Note that `stage-binding` glues may be exposed before $C^2_{(i,j)}$ completely assembles and therefore for some i and i', two subassemblies of $C^2_{(i,j)}$ and $C^2_{(i',j)}$ may bind to form a subassembly of an assembly, which we call C^3_j, corresponding to stage 3. We define glues belonging to `grout` tiles so that this does not prevent tiles from binding in locations corresponding to points of stage 2 at positions i and i' from completing assembly as a subassembly of C^3_j and note that this does not permit tiles to bind in locations outside of locations in dom (C^3_j). Therefore, we assume that each $C^2_{(i,j)}$ completely assembles before binding to some other supertile to become a subassembly of a larger assembly. C^3_j is depicted in Figure 4b. Finally, for i' such that $1 \leq i' \leq 8$, the glues that might allow (depending on i and i') some supertile $C^2_{(i,j)}$ to bind to another supertile $C^2_{(i',j)}$ are strength 1 glues separated by a distance of $3^{2-1} = 3$. This distance is ensured by the locations of the `indicator` glues and will prevent supertiles corresponding to different fractal stages from binding. Moreover, we define the `grout` tiles such that the $C^2_{(i,j)}$ supertiles bind before the "next iteration" of `grout` tiles can attach. In other words, $C^2_{(i,j)}$ supertiles bind for all i between 1 and 8 before a `start-gadget` can bind to the resulting assembly C^3_j.

Overview of stage s assembly for $s \geq 4$. For each j and all i, the supertiles $C^2_{(i,j)}$ bind to form a supertile C^3_j corresponding to a portion of S^3. Just as i corresponds to the position where the C^2_i supertile will bind when C^3_j forms, j corresponds to the position where C^3_j will bind when a supertile corresponding to a portion of S^4 self-assembles. This portion of S_4 is essentially, S^4 without northernmost, southernmost, easternmost, and westernmost points, the absence of which makes room for the assembly of more `grout` tiles. Informally, the position in the C^3_j supertiles of each C^2_i supertile is determined by the glues exposed by the supertiles C^2_i. Moreover, the `grout` class j determines the `grout` tiles that will bind to C^3_j, which will in turn determine the position of the C^3_j supertile in S^4. Finally, just as some super tile C^2_i exposes some `indicator` glues the supertile C^3_j for $i = j$ expose the same strength-1 `indicator` glues, only at a distance of $3^2 = 9$ apart.



Fig. 7: A depiction of the portion of S^4 that is self-assembled by supertiles denoted by $C^3_{i)}$ for i and j between 1 and 8 (inclusive) and some class j of `grout` tiles.

Repurposing i, we now let C^3_j be denoted by C^3_i. Now, for each i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$,

the 8 different classes of `grout` tile types can attach to each C_i^3 supertile to give supertiles $C_{(i,j)}^3$, and the glues of each different class of `grout` tiles determine where the supertiles consisting of $C_{(i,j)}^3$ attach to self-assemble supertiles, C_j^4, corresponding to a portion of S^4. C_j^4 is depicted in Figure 7. Moreover, the glues that allow some supertile $C_{(i,j)}^3$ to bind to another supertile $C_{(i',j)}^3$, for some i' say, are strength 1 glues separated by a distance of 9.

Repeating this process, we see that for any $i, j, s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$ and $s > 2$, we can self-assemble supertiles C_i^{s-1} corresponding to a portion of S^{s-1} (again, we are leaving room for `grout` tiles), and supertiles $C_{(i,j)}^{s-1}$ corresponding to C_i^{s-1} with the attachment of `grout` tiles all belonging to the j^{th} class of `grout` tile types. Moreover, the supertiles $C_{(i,j)}^{s-1}$ expose strength 1 glues that are at a distance of 3^{s-2} apart that allow for the stable binding of these supertiles to form a supertile C_i^s corresponding to S^s. For $i' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i' \leq 8$, since the distance between the 2 glues that allow for two supertiles $C_{(i,j)}^{s-1}$ and $C_{(i',j)}^{s-1}$ to bind is 3^{s-2}, one can observe that no erroneous supertiles can self-assemble. In particular, glue distances ensure that for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p, q > 2$, $C_{(i,j)}^p$ subassemblies can bind to some $C_{(i,j)}^q$ subassemblies iff $p = q$. Hence, one can show that each supertile is a subassembly of such a C_i^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore for any producible assembly $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}]$, there exists a stage $s > 1$ such that dom $\alpha \subset S^s$. Moreover, one can observe that for any stage $s \geq 1$, $S^s \subset C_i^{s+1}$. Therefore, as this hierarchical growth continues indefinitely, the domain of the terminal assembly of the 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ is $\boldsymbol{S}$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{S}$.

3.2 4-sided fractals construction overview

The construction that shows that any 4-sided fractal strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM at scale factor 1 (Theorem 1) is a generalization of the construction given in Section 3.1. Let G be the generator for a 4-sided fractal and recall the notation of L_G, R_G, B_G, and T_G. Moreover, let $|G| = r$, let $\boldsymbol{X}$ denote the dssf with generator G, and let X_s be the s stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$. We will describe a tile set T such that $\boldsymbol{X}$ strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM system $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$. As an example, consider the generator in Figure 8a. Stage 2 of this fractal is depicted in Figure 8b. We also choose the convention of ordering the positions in G from top to bottom and left to right. This enumeration is depicted in Figure 8a.



(a) Stage 1 (b) Stage 2

Fig. 8: Two stages of a 4-side fractal.

To show Theorem 1, we first show the following lemma that follows from a modification of the construction given in Section 3.1. Intuitively, this lemma states that dssf's with generators consisting only of points on the perimeter of a rectangle strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM.

Lemma 1. *Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ be a 4-sided fractal with generator G such that $G \setminus (L_G \cup L_G \cup T_G \cup B_G) = \emptyset$. Then, there exists a 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, 2)$ that strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$.*

To show Lemma 1, we show how to modify the construction given in Section 3.1. Given a 4-sided fractal $\boldsymbol{X}$ with generator that satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1 (for example, the generator depicted in Figure 9), one can see that each step in the construction in Section 3.1 generalizes to give a tile set T such that the 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, 2)$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$. Details of these modifications to the Sierpinski's carpet construction are in Section C. The basic idea for proving Lemma 1 is to "elongate" the `initializer` and `grout` supertiles given in the Sierpinski's carpet construction and most of the remaining details are analogous.



Fig. 9: An example generator for the 4-sided fractals considered in Lemma 1.

We now give a high-level overview of the proof of Theorem 1. See Section C for more detail. To prove Theorem 1, given any 4-sided fractal $\boldsymbol{X}$ with generator G, we consider a set that consists of the points only on the perimeter of G and call this set G'. Then, the fractal $\boldsymbol{X'}$ with generator G' strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM by Lemma 1 and we denote the tile set given by this lemma by T'. Then, to give a tile set T such that the 2HAM TAS strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$ we add additional tiles to the set T' to account for points of G that are not on the perimeter of G and modify the tiles of T' by adding strength-2 glues to particular edges of the tiles of T' that allow for these additional tiles to attach.



Fig. 10: A depiction of supertile that represents stage 2 of the fractal with generator given in Figure 8a after grout supertiles have attached.

Additional `initializer` tiles are added to T' to ensure that tiles are placed at points of G that are not on the perimeter of G during the self-assembly of `initializer` supertiles. For example, the gray tiles in Figure 10 are the tiles of an `initializer` supertile. Note the tiles corresponding to points of G that are not on the perimeter of G. Additional `grout` tiles can be added so that as `grout` supertiles attach to an assembly, tiles can attach in locations corresponding to points of G not on the perimeter of G. For an example, consider the aqua tiles in Figure 10. These are tiles of `grout` supertiles. Note that as `grout` supertiles attach along the northernmost tiles of the `initializer` supertile (shown in gray), strength-2 glue allow for the self-assembly of complete stage-1 subassemblies. Moreover, to ensure that stages at a position, p say, corresponding to points that are not on the perimeter of G correctly assemble, additional `grout` tiles are added such that these

additional `grout` supertiles always surround an entire assembly corresponding to a stage, i say, and expose glues such that the resulting supertile will bind to an assembly that (possibly after the binding of other supertiles) corresponds to an assembly corresponding to stage $i+1$. Figure 10 depicts a supertile that will bind at position 12 as a supertile corresponding to stage 3 of the dssf self-assembles. Note the glues that are exposed on tiles adjacent to red tiles. These glues will permit this supertile to bind in position 12 as a supertile corresponding to stage 3 of the dssf self-assembles. Finally, we note that with these modification to the tiles set given by Lemma 1 give a tile set that satisfies Theorem 1. To see that the tile complexity is $O(g^3)$, note that hard-coding `initializer` supertiles, `corner-gadgets`, `crawler` supertiles, and `start-gadgets` each require $O(g^3)$ tile types each. For example, `initializer` supertiles require $O(g^2)$ tiles to hard-code their stage 2 shape and g of them must assembly (one for each point in G). For tile types making up `corner-gadgets`, `crawler` supertiles, and `start-gadgets`, note that none of these supertiles consists of more than g tiles. Therefore, to hard-code one of these these supertiles (requiring $O(g)$ types) that can bind to some C_i^s for i between 1 and 8 (requiring $O(g)$ times more types) that also belongs to one of g classes of `grout` (requiring $O(g)$ times more types), $O(g^3)$ tile types are required. Hence in total, $O(g^3)$ tiles types are required.

4 A 3-sided Fractal that does not Strictly Self-assemble

In this section we give a high-level sketch of the proof that there exist 3-sided fractals that do not strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM. A detailed proof is given in Section D.

Theorem 2. *There exists a 3-sided fractal $\boldsymbol{X}$ for which there is no 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, \tau)$ that strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$.*

To prove Theorem 2, we consider the fractal with generator given by the points in Figure 12a. Stage 2 of this fractal is shown in Figure 12b. We refer to this fractal as $\boldsymbol{X}$ and, similar to the convention in 3.1, we refer to the s^{th} stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$ as X^s. We refer to the i^{th} position of X^s as X_i^s where $1 \leq i \leq 13$ (Figure 12a). We call the assembly γ_i^s for which dom $\gamma_i^s = X_i^s$.

Consider any 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, \tau)$. Let g be the number of tiles in $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$. Consider a producible assembly α such that $X^{g+2} \subseteq$ dom α, and specifically the subassembly γ_6^{g+2}, which is an assembly γ^{g+1}. We prove in Section D that γ^{g+1} contains a sequence of $g+1$ strength τ cuts consisting of



Fig. 11: An example of erroneous binding within γ^5. Because of the large number of tiles some of the γ^3 subassemblies are shown as rectangles.

a single glue (Figure 12b). Let β_k be the subassembly below the k^{th} cut in this sequence. Essentially, because the cuts are strength τ, the β_k subassemblies can be removed and the resulting subassemblies are still producible, so the β_k subassemblies cannot be guaranteed to attach before any point in the construction of α. However, since there are $g+1$ cuts and only g tiles, there is some β_i, β_j with $|\beta_i| > |\beta_j|$ that attach with the same glue, and if other β_k subassemblies have not yet attached, it is possible for β_i to bind where β_j is needed (Figure 11). Since the domain of the resulting assembly $\notin \boldsymbol{X}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ does not strictly self-assemble $\boldsymbol{X}$.



(a) Stage 1 (b) Stage 2

Fig. 12: The assemblies that form the first two stages of $\boldsymbol{X}$. Strength τ cuts are shown on Stage 2.

5 Conclusion

Fig. 13: Do fractals with generators like the one depicted in this figure strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM?

Theorem 1 shows that any 4-sided dssf strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM at temperature 2 and with no scale factor. Theorem 2 shows that there exists a 3-sided fractal that does not strictly self-assemble in any 2HAM system at any temperature. Preliminary results seem to show that similar techniques to those described in Section 3.2 can be used to give an example of a 3-sided fractal that can strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM and though still just an early investigation, the techniques used to give a tile set that strictly self-assembles a given 4-sided fractal may be modifiable to show that a much more general class of fractals strictly self-assembles. In particular, a fractal belonging to this class can be described as having a generator with a *generating cycle*.

Informally, a simple cycle C in G (technically defined in the full-grid graph of G) is a *generating cycle* iff 1) G contains 2 distinct east points of contact $\boldsymbol{p_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{p_2}$ with corresponding west points of contact $\boldsymbol{p_3}$ and $\boldsymbol{p_4}$, and 2 distinct north points of contact $\boldsymbol{p_5}$ and $\boldsymbol{p_6}$ with corresponding south points of contact $\boldsymbol{p_7}$ and $\boldsymbol{p_8}$, and 2) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, C contains points $\boldsymbol{p_i'}$ and paths P_i from p_i' to p_i, 3) moreover, $P_i \cap P_{i+1} = \emptyset$ for $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$. Figure 13 depicts one of the simplest generators (for a dssf which we have been calling the *hashtag fractal*) with a generating cycle.

References

1. K. Barth, D. Furcy, S. M. Summers, and P. Totzke. Scaled tree fractals do not strictly self-assemble. In *Unconventional Computation & Natural Computation (UCNC) 2014, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada* July 14-18, 2014, pages 27–39, 2014.
2. S. Cannon, E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, S. Eisenstat, M. J. Patitz, R. T. Schweller, S. M. Summers, and A. Winslow. Two hands are better than one (up to constant factors): Self-assembly in the 2ham vs. atam. In N. Portier and T. Wilke, editors, *STACS*, volume 20 of *LIPIcs*, pages 172–184. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2013.
3. C. T. Chalk, D. A. Fernandez, A. Huerta, M. A. Maldonado, R. T. Schweller, and L. Sweet. Strict self-assembly of fractals using multiple hands. *Algorithmica*, pages 1–30, 2015.
4. Q. Cheng, G. Aggarwal, M. H. Goldwasser, M.-Y. Kao, R. T. Schweller, and P. M. de Espanés. Complexities for generalized models of self-assembly. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 34:1493–1515, 2005.
5. E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, S. P. Fekete, M. Ishaque, E. Rafalin, R. T. Schweller, and D. L. Souvaine. Staged self-assembly: nanomanufacture of arbitrary shapes with $O(1)$ glues. *Natural Computing*, 7(3):347–370, 2008.
6. J. Hendricks, M. Olsen, M. J. Patitz, T. A. Rogers, and H. Thomas. Hierarchical self-assembly of fractals with signal-passing tiles (extended abstract). In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on DNA Computing and Molecular Programming (DNA 22), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitt, Munich, Germany* September 4-8, 2016, pages 82–97.
7. N. Jonoska and D. Karpenko. Active tile self-assembly, part 1: Universality at temperature 1. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 25(02):141–163, 2014.
8. N. Jonoska and D. Karpenko. Active tile self-assembly, part 2: Self-similar structures and structural recursion. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 25(02):165–194, 2014.
9. S. Kautz and B. Shutters. Self-assembling rulers for approximating generalized sierpinski carpets. *Algorithmica*, 67(2):207–233, 2013.
10. S. M. Kautz and J. I. Lathrop. Self-assembly of the Sierpinski carpet and related fractals. In *Proceedings of The Fifteenth International Meeting on DNA Computing and Molecular Programming (Fayetteville, Arkansas, USA, June 8-11, 2009)*, pages 78–87, 2009.
11. J. I. Lathrop, J. H. Lutz, and S. M. Summers. Strict self-assembly of discrete Sierpinski triangles. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410:384–405, 2009.
12. J. H. Lutz and B. Shutters. Approximate self-assembly of the sierpinski triangle. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, 51(3):372–400, 2012.
13. M. J. Patitz and S. M. Summers. Self-assembly of discrete self-similar fractals. *Natural Computing*, 1:135–172, 2010.
14. P. W. Rothemund, N. Papadakis, and E. Winfree. Algorithmic self-assembly of DNA Sierpinski triangles. *PLoS Biology*, 2(12):2041–2053, 2004.
15. E. Winfree. *Algorithmic Self-Assembly of DNA*. PhD thesis, California Institute of Technology, June 1998.

Technical Appendix

A Sierpinski's Carpet Tile Set Details

A.1 Self-assembly of stage 2 without `grout`.

We now describe the tile set T of tile types that give a 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ that strictly self-assembles Sierpinski's carpet $\boldsymbol{S}$. We will then show that the techniques used to strictly self-assemble $\boldsymbol{S}$ can be generalized to prove Theorem 1.

First, we describe the `initializer` tile types. For each i, we define 32 unique tile types of T, described in Figure 3, that self-assemble the supertile C_i^2 corresponding to a portion of S^2 that will be in the i^{th} position of a supertile corresponding to a portion of S^3.

A.2 Tile types for `grout` tiles

In this section we describe the tile types in T that make up the `grout` tile types. The next section describes how these supertiles attach to assemblies C_1^s and C_2^s for $s \geq 2$. The cases for assemblies C_i^s for $3 \leq i \leq 8$ are similar and we give depictions of these tiles in Section B.

Starting from some supertile C_i^2, initial growth of `grout` tiles begins with a supertile assembly of `grout` tiles that cooperatively bind to some C_1^2 via pairs of glues that have been specifically chosen for each supertile C_i^2. Figure 5 depicts such a supertile (left) that binds to a C_1^2 assembly and another supertile (right) that binds to a C_1^s assembly for $s > 2$. We call such supertiles `start-gadget` supertiles as the binding of `grout` tiles to C_i^s for $1 \leq i \leq 8$ always begins with the attachment of such a supertile.

For $s \geq 2$, after `grout`-initiating supertiles attach to C_i^s, supertiles consisting of `grout` tiles called `crawlers` can then cooperatively attach to the resulting assembly that partially surround C_i^s. These supertiles are depicted in Figures 14 and 15.

Referring to Figure 14, $1 \leq i \leq 8$ and from left to right: (1) For each $s > 2$, after a `start-gadget`supertile has attached to C_1^s the supertile (bottom) and tile (top) that may bind to the south of the `start-gadget`. Multiple copies of these supertiles bind allowing a column of `grout` supertiles to attach until the south-east corner of C_1^s is reached. We call these supertiles `crawlers`. (2) The supertiles that allow for a column of tiles to attach to the easternmost tiles of C_1^s for some $s > 1$. Note that these supertiles bind via cooperation with $g_{1,i}$ and either g^e or $\hat{g}^e$ glues. (3) The glues g_i and $\hat{g}_i$, which we call `indicator` glues, bind to $\hat{g}_1$ and exposes the glue $\hat{h}_{1,i}$ that will (along with $h_{1,i}$) participate in a binding event in the formation of a successive stage C_j^{s+1}. We call $\hat{h}_{1,i}$ and $h_{1,i}$ `stage-binding` glues as they cooperate to allow for the assembly of an assembly corresponding to stage 2. (4) After the tiles containing `stage-binding` glues bind, these supertiles attach to the easternmost tiles of C_1^s. Multiple copies

of these supertiles bind allowing a column of tiles to attach until the north-east corner of C_1^s is reached, where a g_n glue will be exposed. Figure 15 depicts `grout` tiles that assemble along the southernmost tiles of C_1^s for some $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 14: A depiction of `grout` tiles that bind to the easternmost tiles of a C_1^s assembly. Once again, labels for unlabelled glues are "hard-coded" to enforce the assembly of each supertile shown here.



Fig. 15: Similar to the supertiles depicted in Figure 14, these supertiles will self-assemble a row of tiles that bind to the southernmost tiles of C_1^s for some stage $s \geq 1$. Labels for unlabelled glues are "hard-coded" to enforce the assembly of each supertile shown here.

For some $i, j, s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$ and $s \geq 2$, when all `grout` tiles that can bind to some C_i^s have, we denote the resulting supertile by $C_{(i,j)}^s$. Figure 6a shows $C_{(1,i)}^2$ with complete grout (note that in the figure, i has been repurposed to denote a `grout` class).

For each j between 1 and 8 (inclusive), there are 8 classes of `grout` tiles. Figures 16, 17, 18, and 19 depict these tiles for the i^{th} class of `grout` that binds to C_2^s for some $s \geq 2$. These tiles are analogous to the `grout` tiles that bind to C_1^s for $s \geq 2$ with two important exceptions. First, as one can verify from the figures, these `grout` tiles attach to the easternmost, westernmost, and southernmost tiles of C_2^s. Second, some of these `grout` tiles have special $\bar{g}_{2,i}$ and $\hat{g}_{2,i}$ glues with the property that when $i = 1$, 2, 3, or 7, $\bar{g}_{2,i} = g_n$ and $\hat{g}_{2,i} = \hat{g}_n$. Moreover, when $i = 4$, 5, 6, or 8, $\bar{g}_{2,i} = g_i$ and $\hat{g}_{2,i} = \hat{g}_i$.



Fig. 16: In this figure, $1 \leq i \leq 8$. Left: The supertile that starts the growth of `grout` for C_2^2. Right: The supertile that starts the growth of `grout` for C_2^s for $s > 2$. Note that for each $s \geq 2$, only one of these supertiles can bind to tiles of C_2^s, and the supertile depicted on the left can bind to some C_2^s iff $s = 2$.



Fig. 17: These tiles and supertiles are analogous to those in Figure 14. Note the presence of the glue $\bar{g}_{2,i}$. This glue will either be g_n or g_i depending on i.



Fig. 18: Similar to the supertiles depicted in Figure 14, these supertiles will self-assemble a row of tiles that bind to the southernmost tiles of C_1^s for some stage $s \geq 1$.



Fig. 19: These tiles and supertiles are analogous to those in Figure 15 only they bind to the westernmost tiles of some C_2^s for $s \geq 2$. Note the presence of the glue $\hat{g}_{2,i}$. This glue will either be $\hat{g}_n$ or $\hat{g}_i$ depending on i.



(a) (b)

Fig. 20: (a) A depiction of C_1^3 without grout. (b) A depiction of C_1^3 with complete grout. For the sake of clarity, many glues have not been depicted, but the `grout` tiles here have been color coded and the color for each supertile is shown around the assembly of C_1^3 with grout. Notice the similarities between this figure and Figure 6a. In particular, note the presence and spacing of `indicator` glues.

For each i and j between 1 and 8 (inclusive), once a sufficient number of `grout` tiles bind to C_i^2 to yield $C_{(i,j)}^2$, the resulting supertiles can then bind to yield a portion of C_1^3, which is depicted in Figure 20a. Note the similarities between the patterns of glues along the northernmost, southernmost, westernmost, and easternmost tiles. This pattern of glues permits another iteration of `grout` tiles to bind. Figure 20b depicts C_1^3 after all `grout` supertiles that can attach have.

For i and k between 1 and 8, and $s, s' \geq 2$, note that `grout` tiles may bind to C_i^s while $C_k^{s'}$ only has partial `grout`. Therefore, it is possible for `grout` tiles to "turn a corner too early" as depicted in Figure 21. In this case, notice that each supertile that binds to "turn a corner" exposes a glue that allows `grout` tiles to continue to self-assemble until the assembly C_i^s with complete grout has self-assembled. One can verify from the definitions of the `grout` tiles that this glues does not permit erroneous growth.



Fig. 21: An example where `grout` tiles have "turned a corner too early". The `grout` tiles are shown in aqua, fuscia, yellow, and orange here. Note that C_8^3 and C_7^3 only have partial grout. In this case, when a `grout` supertile shown in orange binds a $g_{2,i}$ is exposed that will eventually allow for `grout` tiles to continue to bind to C_1^4.

To prove that the tile set, T, described in Section 3.1 give a 2HAM TAS $(T, 2)$ that strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{S}$ we make the following two observations.

1. Each supertile is a subassembly of such a C_i^s for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore for any producible assembly $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}]$, there exists a stage $s > 1$ such that $\text{dom}\, \alpha \subset S^s$ (up to translation).
2. For any stage $s \geq 1$, $S^s \subset C_i^{s+1}$ (up to translation).

Observations 1 and 2 follow by induction on s and the construction details. Therefore, as this hierarchical growth continues indefinitely, the domain of the terminal assembly of the 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ is $\boldsymbol{S}$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{S}$.

B Tiles for Sierpinski's Carpet Construction

In this section we define the tile types for the Sierpinski's carpet construction in full detail.

B.1 `start-gadget` tile types



(a) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_3^s for $s \geq 2$.



(b) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_4^s for $s \geq 2$.



(c) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_5^s for $s \geq 2$.

Fig. 22: `initializer` tile types



(a) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_6^s for $s \geq 2$.



(b) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_7^s for $s \geq 2$.



(c) The supertiles that start the growth of `grout` for C_8^s for $s \geq 2$.

Fig. 23: More `initializer` tile types

B.2 `crawler` and `corner-gadget` tile types



Fig. 24: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the south side of C_3^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 25: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the west side of C_3^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 26: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the north side of C_4^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 27: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the east side of C_4^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 28: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the south side of C_4^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 29: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the north side of C_5^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 30: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the south side of C_5^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 31: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the west side of C_5^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 32: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the north side of C_6^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 33: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the east side of C_6^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 34: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the north side of C_7^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 35: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the east side of C_7^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 36: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the west side of C_7^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 37: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the north side of C_8^s for $s \geq 2$.



Fig. 38: The tiles and supertiles that bind to the west side of C_8^s for $s \geq 2$.

C Strict self-assembly of 4-sided fractals

The construction that shows that any 4-sided fractal strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM at scale factor 1 (Theorem 1) is a generalization of the construction given in Section 3.1. Let G be the generator for a 4-sided fractal and recall the notation of L_G, R_G, B_G, and T_G. Moreover, let $|G| = r$, let $\boldsymbol{X}$ denote the dssf with generator G, and let X^s be the s stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We will describe a tile set T such that $\boldsymbol{X}$ strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM system $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$. As an example, consider the generator in Figure 39a. Stage 2 of this fractal is depicted in Figure 39b. We also choose the convention of ordering the positions in G from top to bottom and left to right. This enumeration is depicted in Figure 39a.



(a) Stage 1 (b) Stage 2

Fig. 39: Two stages of a 4-side fractal.

Lemma 1 will be helpful for showing Theorem 1. This lemma states that if $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a fractal with a generator G such that G only contains points along its perimeter, then $\boldsymbol{X}$ strictly self-assembles in the 2HAM at temperature 2.

Proof (Sketch proof of Lemma 1.). For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let X^s denote the s^{th} stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$, and let $r = |G|$. We note that the construction given in Section 3.1 generalizes in a straightforward way to give a tile T satisfying Lemma 1. For example, given the generator in Figure 40a, the modifications to the construction given in Section 3.1 are as follows. Once again, we consider two types of tiles in T which we call `start-gadget` tiles and `grout` tiles.

The `start-gadget` tile types for Lemma 1. Let X'^2 denote the set such that a point x is X'^2 iff x is in X^2 and not in the perimeter of X^2. Figure 40b depicts the points of an example X'^2. `start-gadget` tiles of T now hard-code r different versions of X'^2. For i between 1 and r (inclusive), we call these hard-coded assemblies Γ_i^2. We note that as there is a simple path in the full grid-graph of X'^2 that contains every vertex, the glues of the `start-gadget` tiles can be specified so that Γ_i^2 completely assembles prior to being a subassembly of any other producible assembly. In addition to hard-coding the shape of X'^2, `start-gadget` tiles are specified so that once Γ_i^2 has completely self-assembled, 1) the north edges of northernmost tiles expose a g_n or $\hat{g}_n$. In particular the westernmost tile and every other tile from west to east exposes g_n and the remaining northernmost tiles expose a $\hat{g}_n$, 2) the east edges of easternmost tiles expose a g_e or $\hat{g}_e$. In particular the northernmost tile and every other tile from north to south exposes g_e and the remaining easternmost tiles expose a $\hat{g}_e$, 3) the south edges of southernmost tiles expose a g_s or $\hat{g}_s$. In particular the easternmost tile and every other tile from east to west exposes g_s and the remaining southernmost tiles expose a $\hat{g}_s$, and finally, 4) the west edges of westernmost tiles expose a g_w or $\hat{g}_w$. In particular the southernmost tile and every other tile from south to north exposes g_w and the remaining westernmost tiles expose a $\hat{g}_w$.

In addition, edges of tiles in Γ_i^2 in "key locations" expose special glues $\hat{g}_i$ and g_i which we call `indicator` glues. At these key locations, g_i is exposed instead of a g_n, g_s, g_e, or g_w and $\hat{g}_i$ is exposed instead of a $\hat{g}_n$, $\hat{g}_s$, $\hat{g}_e$, or $\hat{g}_w$. In general these key locations will be the second and third westernmost (resp. northernmost, easternmost, southernmost) tile locations of the northernmost (resp. easternmost, southernmost, westernmost) tile locations. The possible locations of the tiles in Γ_i^2 that expose these glues are shown as green squares in Figure 40b. Whether or not Γ_i^2 exposes special glues at these key locations depend on i. In particular, if the i^{th} location in G is adjacent to some another point that is north (resp. south, east, or west) of it, then, Γ_i^2 will expose these special glues on the north (resp. south, east, or west) edges of tiles in northernmost (resp. southernmost, easternmost, or westernmost) key locations. We pick these key locations to be positions 2, $w_G - 1$, $|G| - 1$, and $|G| - w_G + 1$ of the stage 1 subassemblies of each C_i^2 in positions 2, $w_G - 1$, $|G| - 1$, and $|G| - w_G + 1$. In Figure 40b, key locations are shown in green and red. Note that `indicator` glues may or may not be present on an edge of a tile located in a key location and moreover, these glues will only ever belong to tiles in red locations in Figure 40b. Where to place `indicator` glues is determined by i and is analogous to the carpet example.



Fig. 40: (a) An example generator for the 4-sided fractals considered in Lemma 1. (b) A depiction of X'^2. Red squares indicate possible locations of glues $\hat{g}_i$ and g_i.

The `grout` tile types for Lemma 1. With the "base case" hard-coded to give Γ_i^2, we are now ready to describe `grout` tiles. `grout` tiles will be almost identical to the `grout` tiles described in Section 3.1 with the exception that now the `grout` tiles must hard-code analogous though elongated versions of `grout` supertiles from Section 3.1. For example, elongated version of `start-gadget` supertiles that initiate the binding of `grout` tiles to Γ_1^2 is shown on the left in Figure 41. `grout` tiles of T are hard-coded to form similar "elongated" versions of `grout` supertiles to those described in Section 3.1. That is, `grout` tile types are defined so that `grout` supertiles assemble which are analogous to the `grout` supertiles which self-assemble in the Sierpinski's carpet example. The only difference being that no these supertiles must span a distance of w_G between easternmost or westernmost tiles of Γ_i^2 and must span a distance of h_G between northernmost or southernmost tiles of Γ_i^2 in order to attach cooperative.



Fig. 41: An "elongated" versions of the supertiles that initiates the attachment of `grout` tiles to an assembly Γ_1^2 (right) or Γ_1^s (left), where $s \geq 3$.

Now, `grout` tiles fall into r different classes where each class corresponds to a position in G. For some class j between 1 and r (inclusive), `grout` tiles of class j bind to Γ_i^2 for each i such that $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then, `grout` tiles bind to the special glues of edges of tiles of Γ_i^2 in the key locations described above, the resulting supertiles, which we call $\Gamma_{(i,j)}^2$, further expose glues on edges of tiles adjacent to

tiles in key locations such that the presence of these glues enables the supertiles $\Gamma^2_{(i,j)}$ to bind and form an assembly that corresponds to the subsequent stage X^3. Moreover, once all $\Gamma^2_{(i,j)}$ supertiles bind another supertile (like the one depicted on the left in Figure 41) can then initiate the binding of `grout` tiles. Furthermore, with a straightforward modification to the construction in Section 3.1, we can enforce that such a supertile that initiates the binding of `grout` tiles can bind only after all $\Gamma^2_{(i,j)}$ supertiles are subassemblies of the same assembly. We call this latter assembly Γ^3_j.

As in the construction of Sierpinksi's carpet, now that we have `start-gadget` tiles and `grout` tiles we can see that the `start-gadget` assemble a supertiles that corresponds to X^2 and that `grout` tiles can attach to supertiles that correspond to X^s for some stage $s \geq 2$ to form supertiles that bind to yield a supertile corresponding to X_{s+1}. Therefore, with tiles T, the 2HAM system $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$. Therefore, Lemma 1 holds.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

C.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ be a dssf with generator G and let $r = |G|$. Moreover, let X^i denote the i^{th} stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$. In this section, we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1 by describing how to modify the tile set give in the proof of Lemma 1 to show obtain a tile set T such that the 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$. Figure 39a gives an example of a generator G where we enumerate the points of G from left to right and top to bottom. Now let $G_{int} = G \backslash (L_G \cup R_G \cup T_G \cup B_G)$ (i.e. the points of G that are not on the perimeter of G), and let G_{bdry} be $G \backslash G_{int}$.

By Lemma 1 there exists a tile set T' such that the 2HAM system $\mathcal{T}' = (T', 2)$ strictly self-assembles the dssf with generator G_{bdry}. We will show how to modify the tile set T' to obtain T. Once again tiles in T belong to a set of `start-gadget` tiles or a set of `grout` tiles.

Self-assembly of stage 2 without grout for 4-sided fractals Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ denote the full grid-graph of G and let $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ denote the graph obtained by removing the northernmost, southernmost, easternmost, and westernmost points from $\mathcal{G}$. Note that it is not necessary for $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ to be connected. For example, consider $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ for the generator shown in Figure 39a. Also note that $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ may be empty if $G = L_G \cup R_G \cup T_G \cup B_G$ as in the case for the Sierpinski's carpet dssf. An example of $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ for the generator shown in Figure 39a is shown in Figure 42a where vertices correspond to squares and there is assumed to be an edge between two vertices iff these squares abut. Now let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the full grid-graph of X^2. Let $\mathcal{G}^-$ be the (not necessarily connected) graph obtained by removing the northernmost, southernmost, easternmost, and westernmost points from $\mathcal{G}$. For the generator given in Figure 39a, $\mathcal{G}^-$ is shown in Figure 42b. Finally, let $\mathcal{G}_c$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{G}^-$ that is not equal to a connected component



(a) (b)

Fig. 42: (a) A depiction of $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ for the generator in Figure 39a. (b) A depiction of $\mathcal{G}^-$ for the generator in Figure 39a.



Fig. 43: A depiction of Γ_i^2. This is the portion of the second stage of the fractal with generator in Figure 39a that is hard-coded to self-assemble. It is analogous to the second stages that assemble shown in Figure 40b for the construction for Lemma 1.

of $\mathcal{G}_1^-$ up to translation. See Figure 43 for an example of $\mathcal{G}_c$ for the generator shown in Figure 39a.

Then, the `start-gadget` tiles of T are hard-coded to self-assemble r different versions of $\mathcal{G}_c$ which we call Γ_i^2 for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Similar to the `start-gadget` tiles described in the proof of Lemma 1, each Γ_i^2 contains tiles in key locations (defined as in Lemma 1) that expose `indicator` glues that depend on the value of i. These `start-gadget` tiles can be thought of as being equivalent to the `start-gadget` tiles of T' with additional tiles that hard-code the assembly of stage 1 subassemblies whose positions in the Γ_i^2 correspond to the point of G_{int}. That is, the `start-gadget` tiles of T are the `start-gadget` tiles of T' and tiles that have been hard-coded so that tiles will eventually be in locations that correspond to X^1 at "interior" positions of G in X^2. Figure 43 depicts the locations of tiles of Γ_i^2 for the generator in Figure 39a.

Tile types for `grout` tiles. T also contains `grout` tiles that serve the same purpose as the `grout` tiles described in Section 3.1. That is, there are r many classes of `grout` tiles. For any i and a fixed j with $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, the j^{th} class of `grout` tiles contains tiles that bind to Γ_i^2 and partially surround it. These `grout` tiles expose glues so that once Γ_i^2 has complete grout (or sufficient but partial grout) for each i between 1 and r (inclusive), the resulting assembles, which we call $\Gamma_{(i,j)}^2$ are supertiles that can then self-assemble to yield Γ_j^3. Moreover, just as Γ_i^2 corresponds to X^2, Γ_j^3 is an assembly that corresponds to X^3. That is, after some translation, the domain of Γ_j^3 is contained in X^3, and Γ_j^3 exposes

glues such that as assembly proceeds, Γ_j^3 will be a subassembly of an assembly that contains all of the points of X^3. In addition, Γ_i^2 is a subassembly of Γ_j^3 that corresponds to a stage X^2 at position i in X^3 to which Γ_j^3 corresponds.

The `grout` tiles of T consists of tiles that are equivalent to the `grout` tiles of T' and additional tiles that hard-code the appropriate stage 1 growth that complete any subassembles that represent X^1. Figure 45 gives an example of Γ_{12}^2 with complete grout. In this particular example, `grout` tiles have been hard-coded to place tiles in locations corresponding to X^1 as the `grout` tiles bind to the northernmost tiles of Γ_{12}^2.



Fig. 44: A depiction of $\Gamma_{(12,j)}^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponding to the j class of `grout`. Note the glues that are exposed on tiles adjacent to tiles with `indicator` glues (red tiles).

It should be noted that `grout` tiles are added for each i between 1 and r (inclusive) and that as in Figure 45, `grout` tiles may bind to some Γ_i^2 where i corresponds to a point in G_{int}. In this case, `grout` tiles have been hard-coded to completely surround Γ_i^2 and expose appropriate glues at key locations to ensure that for all i and j both between 1 and 8 (inclusive), once a sufficient number of `grout` tiles bind to each Γ_i^2, the resulting supertiles, which we again call $\Gamma_{(i,j)}^2$ can bind to yield an assembly corresponding to X^3. We call this latter assembly Γ_j^3.

As in the construction of Sierpinksi's carpet, now that we have `start-gadget` tiles and `grout` tiles we can see that the `start-gadget` assemble a supertiles that corresponds to X^2 and that `grout` tiles can attach to supertiles that correspond to X^s for some stage $s \geq 2$ to form supertiles that bind to yield a supertile corresponding to X^{s+1}. Therefore, with tiles T, the 2HAM system $\mathcal{T} = (T, 2)$ strictly self-assembles $\boldsymbol{X}$. Therefore, Theorem 1 holds.



Fig. 45: A schematic picture of Γ_i^3. Note the red tile locations where tiles with `indicator` glues (red tiles) will be present.

D Details of a 3-sided Fractal that does not Strictly Self-assemble

In this section we prove that there exist 3-sided fractals that do not strictly self-assemble in the 2HAM.

To prove Theorem 2, we consider the fractal with generator $G = \{(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3), (0,4), (1,0), (1,4), (2,0), (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (3,0), (3,4)\}$. Stages 1 and 2 of this fractal are shown in Figure 46. We refer to this fractal as $\boldsymbol{X}$ and, similar to the convention in 3.1, we refer to the s^{th} stage of $\boldsymbol{X}$ as X^s. We refer to the i^{th} position of X^s as X_i^s where $1 \leq i \leq 13$ (Figure 46a). We call the assembly γ_i^s for which dom $\gamma_i^s = X_i^s$.

Consider any 2HAM TAS $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = (T, \tau)$. We show that $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ does not strictly self-assemble $\boldsymbol{X}$ by showing that there is a terminal assembly $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\square}[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}]$ for which dom $\alpha \neq \boldsymbol{X}$ even after translation.

For every assembly α such that $X^s \subseteq$ dom α, there is a stage 1 subassembly $\gamma^1 \subseteq \gamma_9^s$, and this stage 1 subassembly contains a strength τ cut between γ_9^1 and γ_{10}^1 that separates γ_{10}^s, γ_{11}^s, γ_{12}^s, and γ_{13}^s, along with a sequence of subassemblies γ_{10}^i, γ_{11}^i, γ_{12}^i, and γ_{13}^i, $i < s$, from the rest of γ^s. An example of this is shown in Figure 47b for $s = 2$ and in Figure 48 for $s = 3$. If $s - 1 > 1$, then γ_8^s is a subassembly γ^{s-1} which contains a similar strength τ cut between two tiles γ_9^1 and γ_{10}^1 in the γ^1 subassembly directly above γ_{10}^{s-1}. By induction this may be repeated until $s - 1 = 1$. Then γ_8^s is a subassembly γ^1 which contains a single strength τ cut between γ_9^1 and γ_{10}^1 (Figure 47a). We also note that when $s = 1$ there is one strength τ cut between γ_9^s and γ_{10}^s. Therefore every assembly α such that dom $\alpha \supseteq X^s$ contains a sequence of s strength τ cuts between positions 9 and 10 of s distinct stage 1 subassemblies. An example of this for $s = 3$ is shown in Figure 48.

Let g be the number of tiles in $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$. Consider a producible assembly α such that $X^{g+2} \subseteq \text{dom } \alpha$. Within α there is a subassembly γ^{g+2}, and γ_6^{g+2} is a subassembly γ^{g+1}. As we have shown this subassembly contains a sequence of $g+1$ single tile strength τ cuts. Let the subassembly to the south of the cut within γ^1 be called β_1, the subassembly to the south of the cut within γ_9^2 be called β_2, etc., with the subassembly to the south of the cut within γ_9^{g+1} called β_{g+1} (see Figure 48). As shown above, there are at least two cuts that use the same glue. Consider two such cuts directly above β_s and $\beta_{s'}$ with $s' > s$. Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha \setminus (\beta_s \cup \beta_{s+1} \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{s'})$. We will show that $\alpha_2, \beta_{s'} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}]$. Additionally, we notice that between X_8^{g+2} and X_{12}^{g+2} there is enough room to fit an entire stage X^{g+1}, and since $s' \leq g+1$, erroneous binding of α_2 and $\beta_{s'}$ cannot be prevented geometrically. Hence $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_{s'} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}]$, and since dom $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_{s'} \not\subseteq \boldsymbol{X}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ does not strictly self-assembly $\boldsymbol{X}$.

We now show that the subassemblies α_2 and $\beta_{s'}$ are producible. If one of α_2 or $\beta_{s'}$ is not producible, then that one must contain a cut of strength less than τ. However, since every β_i, $1 \leq i \leq g+1$, is connected to α by a strength τ cut between two single tiles, if α_2 or $\beta_{s'}$ contained a cut less than strength τ, then α would contain the same cut less than strength τ, contradicting the assumption that α is producible. Hence $\alpha_2, \beta_{s'} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}]$.



(a) Stage 1 (b) Stage 2

Fig. 46: X^1 and X^2



(a) (b)

Fig. 47: Strength τ cuts in γ^1 and γ^2



Fig. 48: There are s strength τ cuts within each assembly γ^s. Here γ^3 with 3 strength τ cuts is shown. The subassembly to the south of the rightmost cut is referred to as β_1, the subassembly to the south of the next rightmost cut as β_2, and the subassembly to the south of the leftmost cut as β_3.



Fig. 49: The pieces of the fractal south of the strength τ cut cannot be guaranteed to bind before higher stages of the fractal begin to assemble. An example of this is shown for γ^5. Because of the large number of tiles most of the γ^3 subassemblies are shown as rectangles and the partially completed γ^4 subassembly is shown zoomed in on the right hand side.



Fig. 50: An example of erroneous binding within γ^5. Because of the large number of tiles some of the γ^3 subassemblies are shown as rectangles.